**Foundational Principles of Radical Supra-Universal-Infinitism and Derivative Premises for Pondering 15May2**1

1. ABSOLUTENESS *IS.* Other than ABSOLUTENESS nothing ***REALLY*** *IS.*
2. The SUPRA-UNIVERSAL ABSOLUTE DEITY (the SUPRA-UNIVERSAL ***ACTOR*** BECOMING ALL UNIVERSES) ARISES from ABSOLUTENESS, without changing ABSOLUTENESS WHATSOEVER, or, ESSENTIALLY, BECOMING *OTHER THAN ABSOLUTENESS.*
3. There are TWO REFLECTIONS of the SUPRA-UNIVERSAL ABSOLUTE DIETY (the FIRST IS UTTERLY HOMOGENEOUS; the SECOND IS ABSOLUTE INFINITUDE, ABSOLUTELY INFINITELY ARTICULATED/DIFFERENTIATED)
4. From ITS ABSOLUTELY INFINITELY ARTICULATED SELF-REFLECTION, the SUPRA-UNIVERSAL ABSOLUTE DEITY *FREELY CHOOSES* *a specific finitude* to *become the Specific Archetype* of any of the *Infinitude of Sequential Universes which ever have been and ever will be.*
5. The SUPRA-UNIVERSAL ABSOLUTE DEITY *BECOMES-in-Part* the Finitely Circumscribed Universal Logos of any Universe, which is destined to *Fulfill-In-Finitude* the Finite Archetype *CHOSEN* by the SUPRA-UNIVERSAL ABSOLUTE DEITY *before the impending Universe Flashes into Objective-Finitude.*
6. Ultimately *RAYS ARE MAHA-MAYAVIC ARISINGS.*
7. The SUPRA-UNIVERSAL ABSOLUTE DEITY and ITS SELF-REFLECTION (INFINITELY ARTICULATED ABSOLUTE INFINITUDE) ARE, in a sense, A RAY OF THE ABSOLUTE, and any Finite Universe (and *All Universes are Finite*) is a RAY of the SUPRA-UNIVERSAL ABSOLUTE DEITY)
8. A RAY-become-Ray is the ‘BRIDGE’ over which the SUPRA-UNIVERSAL-ABSOLUTE-DEITY EXTENDS ITSELF into Universal Finitude – *beginninglessly and endlessly.*
9. ALL, except **ABSOLUTENESS** IS MAYA—either SUPRA-UNIVERSAL MAHA-MAYA or in-Universe (Internecine) Maha-Maya.

**Related Premises to Ponder 15May21**

1. At the beginning of any Universe, the only boundary existing is a particular Universal Logos’ Self-Perceptual Boundary defining that Universe's Finite Wholeness.
2. Entification arises from the Universal Logos' *creation of internecine boundaries*--i.e., (boundaries ***within*** Its Wholeness) through the dynamic of *deliberate Self-Reduction--*i.e., *deliberate, apparently Self-reductive articulation which is Self-Perceptual Division.* I say “apparently” because regardless of numerous perceptual Self-subdivisions, the Unarticulated Wholeness of any Universe remains Homogeneous and Intact.
3. There can be no ‘in-Universe’ *entification and, thus, interaction of ‘parts’* without the *creation of/*i.e., *the Universal Logos’ apparent Self-*becomingof *internecine boundaries arising from* *Self-reductive Self-perception.* (An Analogy: The Original Candle lights all ever-smaller candles but remains ever as It Is—and does not ‘burn out’ until the conclusion of any particular Universe. The Flame is simply retracted into Its SUPRA-UNIVERSAL SOURCE—the SUPRA-UNIVERSAL-ABSOLUTE-DEITY REFLECTED as ABSOLUTE INFINITUDE.
4. Universal Dynamics (i.e., all types of interplay within any Universe) require ‘apparent’ Universal Logoic Self-Division which are Acts of Universal Logoic ongoingly reductive (i.e., *ever-lessening*) Universal Logoic Self-Perception.
5. Any Universal Logos through Logoic Fiat also determines the extent beyond which such Perceptual Self-Reduction or Self-Becoming no longer occurs-- i.e., in any Universe (because all Universes are Finitudes) there is a *Universally-Logoic Limit* to Universal Logoic Self-Perceptual Self-Reduction. This means there is a Finite Ultimate-Particle-Event (UPE) in any Universe. Every Ultimate-Particle-Event is an *Emanative Extension of the Universal Logos of that Universe.*
6. More Later… Note that in presenting these premises to you the abstract mind is being employed.
7. Whether these abstract considerations are actually founded upon True Intuition remains to be seen over time, but one must at least attempt.

Response to Stephen Renneberg

Dear Michael

YES. Very good.

Re Point 4 : {MDR: I will offer my thoughts….

1. I suggest infinite Universes may not be sequential, but simultaneous. {MDR: If there are Infinite Universes, taken together they are but *One Universe.* The Many/MANY are *ultimately the ONE.*
2. I think no Universe is infinite. All are Finite in my view. No completed infinitudes exist within any Universe . But in ABSOLUTE INFINITUDE ALL Infinitudes are ***completed***. A Universe is an objectification of a FINITE COLLECTION of ‘INTERACTIVES’ CHOSEN by the ABSOLUTE DEITY, which IS *NOT* the ABSOLUTE. That CHOICE, that OBJECTIFICATION in SUPRA-UNIVERSAL MAHA MAYA OCCURS BEFORE THE ONSET of ANY UNIVERSE. We must come to terms with the thought at there are ‘TIMES WHEN NEITHER the SUPRA-UNIVERSAL ABSOLUTE DEITY and ITS ARTICULATED REFLECTION (ABSOLUTE ARTICULATED INFINITUDE) do NOT EXIST.
3. Sequentiality imposes time, a *constraint*. {MDR: ULTIMATELY sequentiality is Illusory. The GREAT BREATH is the HIGHEST EXAMPLE of SEQUENTIALITY and IS THE MEANS of Delivering SUPRA-UNIVERSAL CONTENT into Finite Objectification—Delivered by Means of the GREAT BREATH.
4. Simultaneity permits infinitely all, now. {MDR: The INFINITE ALL ***NOW*** ***DOES*** CYCLKICALLY EXIST SUPRA-UNIVERSALLY as ABSOLUTE INFINITUDE.
5. No constraint. No limit. All universes, all possibilities, all archetypes exist now. {MDR: ABSOLUTE INFINITUDE (the ONE AND ONLY TRUE REFLECTION of the SUPRA-UINVERSAL ABSOLUTE DEITY—the ACTOR) *DOES EXIST* ***NOW,*** howeverwith this caveat—All Universes that ever have been or will be are an INFINITESIMAL PART of THAT ABSOLUTE INFINITUDE. ‘Sequentiality’ arises from SELF-DIVISION—FIST SUPRA-UNIVERSALLY and then Intra-Universally) Note that ‘in’ ABSOLUTENESS there can be *no division and no sequentiality—*BUT, in THAT which AROSE from the ABSOLUTE WITHOUT CHANGING IT AT ALL, (i.e. the SUPRA-UNIVERSAL ACTOR/DEITY) there CAN BE and MUST BE *sequentiality,* even though ARTICULATED ABSOLUTE INFINITUDE CAN NEVER BE AS ***REAL*** as ABSOLUTENESS.

In all the *infinite, beginningless, endless sequence of Universes, THAT which occurs objectively as a Finitude* (i.e., Universe)*, will always count as THE ABSOLUTE INFINITESIMAL when compared to ABSOLUTE INFINITUDE.* ‘WITHIN’ (though there can never be a *within* or a *without* in regard to the ABSOLUTE) the ABSOLUTE the POTENTIAL of infinite Universes does exist. The infinitude number of Universes which *have elapsed and will elapse* will forever *must* be an ABSOLUTE INFINITESIMAL when compared to ABSOLUTE INFINITUDE. Most maths declare this comparison to be ‘indeterminable’.

The ***entire content*** of elapsed Mayavically Sequential Universes and the ***entire content***of Universes which will come and go—that *content* will NEVER BE MORE THAN an ABSOLUTE INFINITESIMAL when compared to ABSOLUTE INFINITUDE.

1. I am assuming Sequentiality is an illusion. {MDR: Both yes and no, though ULTIMATELY SO, as is necessitated by *Sequentiality* comparison with ABSOLUTE SUPRA-UNIVERSAL ARTICULATED INFINITUDE.
2. {MDR: Cyclically and forever We-the-Universal I (‘8—as—**I**—as—I’ ), are subjectively forced into *sequentiality.* ABSOLUTE ARTICULATED INFINITUDE IS FORCED to GENERATE an Infinitude of Finitudes—another way of saying FORCED TO GENERATE an ENDLESS/BEGINNINGLSS Maha-Mavic Sequence of Universes
3. Must there be only one SUPRA-UNIVERSAL ABSOLUTE DEITY, or could there be a simultaneous infinitude, each freely choosing its archetype, permitting simultaneity? {MDR: All SUPRA-UNIVERSAL PARTICULARITIES/and All in-Universe Particularities, can NEVER ESCAPE *being only the*  ONE-in *their-*IDENTITY/Identity.
4. My impression is there are an infinitude of simultaneous universes, expressing all infinite possibilities now. {MDR: For me ABSOLUTE INFINITUDE (forever ARTICULATED CYCLICALLY by SOME DYNAMIC—Who knows how?) IS SO ULTIMATE, SO INEXHAUSTIBLE, that even a collection of an *infinitude of Universes* could never be but an ABSOLUTE INFINITESIMAL when compared to ABSOLUTE INFINITUDE. OF course, such *comparison* must remain in the *Realm of Reasoned Speculation*
5. I find this a troubling concept from the rational perspective, yet this is how it appears to me, defying my own mind. {MDR: The *mind* *always has a ‘reason’ for accepting what it accepts,* even if that ‘reason’ arises from a lower vehicle, such as the astral body or etheric-physical body.

1. Perhaps my impression is wrong, but sequentiality’s seeming time constraint troubles me. {MDR: Sequentiality if ***ALWAYS ILLUSORY***. There IS ONLY ONE-NO-THING WHICH IS ***NOT*** ILLUSORY.
2. Was there a reason for assuming sequentiality? {MDR: I have incompletely attempted to explain above.

Regards

**Stephen**

{MDR: Best to you and All. Michael