SECTION III. (Continued.)

CHAPTER XXVIII.

SPIRIT AND MATTER.

The seven tattvas.—All as one element, 'matter'.—Their grouping into threes under the stress of the triplicity of consciousness.—Mahat, buddhi and ākāsha.—Vāyu, tejas and āpas.—Summation in pṛṭhivī.—Evolution and involution of these ṭaṭṭvas in correspondence with the Paths of Pursuit and of Renunciation followed by the jīva.—Explanation, in terms of the seven ṭaṭṭvas, of the nine ḍravyas of the Vaisheshika.—Of the twenty-five ḍravyas (or paḍārṭhas or ṭaṭṭvas) of Sānkhya.—Of the twenty-five guṇas or qualities.—Of the five karmas.—Summing up of all in kriyā and praṭikriyā.—Metaphysical transcendence of the Self.

These seven tattvas that have been mentioned above may, in one aspect, be all regarded as one element, for, from the standpoint of the

Universal Self, the whole of the Many makes a one also. But ordinarily the three tattvas, mahat, buddhi and ākāsha, come first, on the ground that wherever there is the Self, (and it is everywhere) there its purpose, prayojana, its inspiration or functioning (in cognition, desire and action) must also be.

The buddhi-tattva is cognitional. The mahat, actional. The ākāsha, negational or desiderative. (Why is mahat mentioned first?). Because there is no before or after from the point of view of Brahman (and so any one might be mentioned first; and secondly, because) from the point of view of the limited and concrete, this Self, as the Brahma-Sūtra says, stands moveless between kriyā on the one side and vikriyā on the other. 1 Between in' and subdivisions and sub-sumptions must be literally endless, and yet each atom, each plane, each subdivision, each world-system, must of necessity be organically articulated with all the rest, so that the limits of any world-system, at any given time and place are always conventional. Thus, one sun with his seven or nine or ten or twelve planets is not only an independent unit side by side with other suns, but is also an organic cell in the system of a vaster sun, and so on endlessly.

¹ Kriyā, being manifestation, naturally appears first. Brahmā is named first in the trinity of the Gods. Vikriyā has been said (ch. xxiv)

¹ See preceding footnote, p. 3, and the statement in The Secret Doctrine III. "Occult papers," how the seven planes of our system make one Prakṛṭic plane. 'Prakṛṭic plane' there is likely to give rise to an impression that Mūla-prakṛṭi has seven planes finally. This can scarcely be so. The whole of the Praṇava-vāda goes to show that these 'fittings

14

these two (action and negation), comes buddhi. Akāsha is kham, space, vacuum, cipher, negation; and therefore comes after mahat, and buddhi.

Thus we have ā kā sha corresponding to the M, buddhi to A, and mahat to U. In this we see an inversion of the primal Logion. Brahman is defined as I-this-not-am, which has two parts obviously, I-This-am and This-notam (I). The third part thereof is the inversion, This-I-not-am, which describes the Samsāra, the World-process (in its Totality, identical with Brahman, and yet also an inversion of It, for it is conceived as an Endless Flow, rather than an Eternal Rest). The forum or locus, the 'standing-place,' of the I is the This; and from the standpoint of the World-process, the house has to be provided before the occupant can come in. Hence the precedence given to mahat.

As mahat and buddhi arise out of the opposition of the unity of I and This, so ā kāsha, corresponding with negation, arises out of their re-mergence into Unity, and includes both.

to correspond with negation or desire. From the standpoint of this particular triplet, then, of kriyā, pratikriyā, vikriyā (with which this very long Section III of many chapters is concerned), the succession of the elements takes the particular order here given.

Hence the common sayings that all things appear in ākāsha, and that all is born from sound, the quality, of ākāsha; also that Brahman is like or even is ākāsha. The difference between Brahman and shūnya1 or vacuum may be stated as the difference between one and two, i.e., vacuum is relative to plenum and implies a duality, whereas Brahman is all-inclusive—an Absolute which includes all possible pairs of relatives and is not separate from or outside of these, but is the Totality of them all, remembering that the pairs are pairs of opposites and so in the totalisation become abolished, plus and minus summed up making zero.

The next triplet of tattvas is vāyu, țejas, āpas. Vāyu is Not-Self. Tejas, Self. Āpas, negation. Vāyu is born from

One of the names for vacuum is akasha, although the word properly means a certain kind of matter of a certain density, This is so, because, comparatively, the åkåsha-matter of our system is as empty space, to the denser kinds. Compare the statements in The Secret Doctrine re "Fohat making holes in space," and the views of Occult Chemistry re"holes or bubbles in koilon". In Samskrt philosophy, while one of the properties of akasha is sound, another is avakāsha-dāna 'yielding place, making room' for others.

ākāsha. Hence the work or the functioning of ākāsha is possible therein. Again, because vāvu is connected with the sense-quality of touch, therefore is it always of the nature of krivā, action, restless movement. So tejas, being connected with visual r ū p a, form, is related to cognition which observes the appearance of all things everywhere. A pas, pursuing the union of the two, is the balancing, equalisation, sām yat va, of the two.1 No oppositions appear in the waters, (they are all-lubricating, uniform, helping things to hold together). This statement is of course only comparative, as usual. Strictly, there are vikṛṭis, transformations, in water also. The triplet of prakrti, original or primal nature, or natural condition, vikrti, transformation, change, developments or unfolding of the nature, and pratikrti, redemption, reversion to nature—is universal. Thus, we have negation of negation also.

Pṛṭhivī is the summation of all these six and 'pervades' them all (i.e., contains or combines them all in itself) equally.

The common statements as to five mahābhūṭas are based on the fact that ākāsha is regarded as summing up in itself the two preceding elements.

The gradual pravartana and nivartana, evolution and involution, of these elements or tattvas, corresponds to the paths of pravṛṭṭi and nivṛṭṭi, pursuit and renunciation, in the life of the jīva. Pravṛṭṭi is the mutual reflexion, of the Self in the Not-Self, and of the Not-self in the Self. This mutual reflexion is the very essence of all kriyā which is the same thing as pravṛṭṭi. The reflexion of the Negation by each with reference to the other is nivṛṭṭi. Samāvṛṭṭi, returning, coming back again, revolution, and nirāvṛṭṭi, never turning and returning, never-moving, eternal-rest, complete the usual quartette.

The explanation of the nine dravyas, substances, (of the *Vaisheṣhika* system) is similar to that of the ṭaṭṭvas. They are usually enumerated as pṛṭhivī, āpas, ṭejas, vāyu, ākāsha, kāla or time, dik or space, Āṭmā

¹ Probably, one manifestation of this idea, a translation of the ideal into the real, is the chemical fact that oxygen and hydrogen in combination form water, the one helpful to the activity of organisms, the other inflammable in itself. The correspondence between tattvas and psychological processes here indicated, may be profitably pursued in theosophical literature and the minor Upanishats and the Tantras. The word sām yaṭva would be sām ya in modern Samskṛt.

Sometimes named anuvṛṭṭi, as in the verse of the Bhagavaḍ-Gīṭā, iii. 16.

or the Self, and manas or the mind. Because dravya or substance is (and is the immediate manifestation and embodiment of) shakti, and Shakti is Necessity, and Necessity is the cause of everything, therefore are all these nine, which are the 'ultimates' of the universe (in the Vaisheshika) designated as dravya. Of these the first five are obviously the mahābhūṭas. The other four are connected with them (as the conditions of their existence).

(The original forms of) these (five) tatt vas (proper, or mahābhūṭas, with four modifications each) in reference to time, space, Self and mind, give rise to the twenty-five dravyas.²

So, again, we have twenty-four gunas or qualities (as also mentioned in the Vaisheshika), one guna connected with each dravya, the twenty-fifth being the summation of all the others (and corresponding with the Self). These qualities are visibility, tastability, smellability,

tangibility, number or countability, size or measurability, attachability (or cohesiveness), detachability (or repulsiveness), priority or superiority, posteriority or inferiority, weight, fluidity (like that of air), liquidity or lubricancy (like that of water), audibility, cognition or thinking, pleasantness or pleasure, painfulness or pain, desire or inclination or affinity, aversion (or diffinity), will or exertiveness, merit or meritoriousness, sin or sinfulness, potentiality or tendency or aptitude.¹

The karmas, actions, are five, as might be inferred from the statements as to pañchī-karaṇa, quintuplication of the fiveṭaṭṭvas. Two are connected with ḍravya and guṇa, viz., 'throwing up' and 'throwing down,' vibration in opposite directions, ascent and descent, rising and falling, growth and decay, birth and dissolution. 'Going,' expansion and contraction are forms of these (or vice versā). Prāpṭi, approach, 'finding,' in the direction of birth or of dissolution is 'going,' gamana. A series,

¹ In the Viṣhṇu-Bhāgavaṭa, ḍravya is often substituted for ichchhā, and the triplet is spoken of द्वयज्ञानिक्रयात्मकम्. In the Devi-Bhāgavaṭa the more obvious expression इच्छाज्ञानिकयात्मम् is used to characterise the nervous system.

² Of Sānkhya, apparently; manas or mind probably corresponds with motion, to complete the triplet of space, time and motion. Manas is 'restless'.

¹ This list is somewhat different from that given in current works on Vaisheshika. The indication in the text that each quality corresponds predominantly with one dravya, and that the dravyas arise as modifications of the primary five, is very suggestive, and might, if worked out, give satisfactory explanations of what now appears fanciful, as put in the current Samskrt books.

an unbroken, or growing, succession of births is prasāraņa, expansion. So, too, an incessant or growing succession of deaths or dissolutions is kuñchana, contraction.¹ Both are possible only in space. And these, as well as gamana, and also ascent and descent, etc., are all included in and may be understood by the two main words, kriyā and pratikriyā.

These, kriyā and praţikriyā, make the downward arc and the upward arc, the paths of pursuit and renunciation, attachment and detachment, along which each jīva impels itself, of and by Necessity, which Necessity manifests as desire in the jīvas and between them, being but a transformation of the primal and eternal unity of the One Self.²

But, in reality, there are no such things at all.

Why should the Self pursue, and whom,
and what?

And what and whom and why may It renounce?

No rise and fall, no ascent and descent,
It needs or undergoes! What motive! Why!
It hath no need of earth, water or fire,
Or air or ether or still subtler things,
Or denser, with their endless qualities,
Odors and tastes, colors and tacts and sounds,

And feels for which our race hath yet no names,

Or numbers, measures, junctions and disjunctions!

It is not Separate, It is not One, Not of a higher or a lower grade, Not highest multiple of numbered finites, Not genus, nor yet species, broad or slim! It has no weight, It has no liquid flow, It gains no merit, It acquires no sin, It layeth up no thirsts, no tendencies, No instincts, cravings, possibilities, Samskāras, potencies, for good or ill, Or faculties to think and feel and plan, Attachments or detachments, pull or push, Attraction or repulsion, love or hate, Affinity or feud, cohesion, breach, Orbits or cycles, straight or circling rush, Action, re-action-All are naught to It, That e'er abideth Motionless, Supreme, Eternal Consciousness of Self alone.

¹ Compare the modern scientific views as to necrobiosis, metabolism and the integration and disintegration of molecular living tissue.

² See Vishņu-Bhāgavaṭa, XI. xxii., for a similar reconciliation of different systems of thought. Indeed, with the help of changes of standpoint, all philosophies whatsoever can be translated into terms of Self, Not-Self and Negation, and all concrete sciences into terms of the ṭ a ṭ ṭ v a s.